Available Online: 21 May 2026 DOI: 10.54254/3029-0880/2026.33768

Fractals: where math meets infinity

Xixi Yang

The Experimental School Affiliated With Zhuhai No.1 High School, Zhuhai, China

sissiyang_2023@qq.com

Abstract. Fractals are extraordinary mathematical patterns that are mysterious, elegant, and full of endless mathematical charm. They are not just abstract math concepts, but are also everywhere in nature and daily life. From fern leaves and mountain contours to snowflakes and river networks, fractals show unique beauty in various forms. This paper seeks to the basic ideas of fractals through a rigorous research approach. It adopts dynamical analysis, numerical iteration, and fractal geometry to investigate the fundamental concepts of fractal, including its definition, core properties and classical models such as the Mandelbrot set. The Koch Snowflake and the Sierpiński Triangle are discussed as typical examples to show three main features of fractals: self-similarity, fractal dimension, and complexity from simple rules. Additionally,this paper also summarizes some promising directions for future research of fractal theory. It further explains why fractal geometry is important for people to understand the hidden mathematical rules in the universe. Learning fractals helps people see inherent order in the chaotic and disordered world, thus deepening the understanding of mathematics and nature.

Keywords: fractals, complexity, fractal dimension, Mandelbrot Set, math in nature

1. Introduction

Fractal geometry is an essential part of modern mathematics. It breaks the limits of traditional geometry which usually focus on clean shapes like circles, squares, triangles, and cubes. However, most shapes in nature are far from this ordinary standard and instead are more irregular [1]. For example, coastlines, trees, and clouds all have complex and endless details that repeat at different scales. Traditional geometry methods are unable to describe or analyze these shapes well. In contrast, fractal geometry effectively fills this gap while providing people a brand new perspective and tool to study these kinds of natural structures. This paper is based on systematic study and personal learning experience. It uses real examples and my own observation to help readers understand fractals quickly. It also shows the value of fractals in understanding nature and the universe.

2. Methodology

In this study, a combination of theoretical analysis and computational observation is employed to explore the structure and characteristics of fractals. When investigating the geometric shapes of fractals, this paper utilizes a large number of distinct and clear images and used elementary computer tools to observe their formation process step by step. By tracking how patterns evolve with each iteration, a more intuitive understanding of their structural changes can be gained. Moreover, hand-drawn sketches of typical fractal structures are constructed to illustrate their iterative rules and geometric details. This approach facilitates a clearer understanding of their structural properties. Meanwhile, complex dynamical analysis, numerical iteration, and fractal geometry are applied to examine the fundamental definitions, core properties, and typical models of fractals, including the Mandelbrot set, the Koch Snowflake, and the Sierpiński Triangle. These integrated methods enable a systematic understanding of fractals, and all procedures and parameter settings were standardized and fully recorded to ensure the repeatability of the investigations, making the research process reliable and the results convincing.

3. Mathematical analysis of fractals

3.1. Basic definition of fractals

Fractals are special form that appear in both mathematics and the natural world.

Fractals are well known for their infinite fine details. No matter how many times one zooms into a fractal pattern, clear and complete structures continue to emerge. The details never fade or simplify, which distinguishes fractals quite different from common shapes.

This uique kind of shape is created by repeating simple rules. Complex fractals do not rely on advanced steps or hard calculations. As long as people keep using the same basic rule many times, a complete fractal will gradually take shape.

In addition, fractals have rough edges and rich small scale features that regular shapes do not have. Traditional geometry only consider smooth and elementary forms like circles, squares and cubes, so it can not describe fractals logically and accurately. Fractals serve as an important bridge between pure mathematics and the study of complexity in the universe [1] .

3.2. Core concepts of fractals

Fractals exhibit three interrelated defining characteristics that set them apart from conventional geometric forms.

The first characteristic is self-similarity. It is a scale-invariant property indicating that the structural details of a fractal remain consistent across different scales. Specifically, smaller parts of a fractal are very similar to the whole shape—this similarity can be exact, as seen in mathematical fractals, or approximate, as found in natural fractals. This property is prominently observed in mathematical fractals such as the Sierpiński Triangle, where each recursive sub-triangle retains the identical geometric configuration of the entire structure, forming an infinite hierarchy of self-replicating patterns. In natural systems, self-similarity is equally prevalent: fern fronds, for example, have leaflets that mirror the shape of the entire frond, while broccoli florets replicate the structure of the entire head. In these natural examples, individual branches or segments mirror the morphology of the entire organism, clearly illustrating the widespread presence of self-similarity in both mathematics and natural phenomena [2].

The second defining characteristic is fractal dimension. Unlike conventional geometric figures, which are defined by integer dimensions—zero for points, one for lines, two for planes, and three for solids—to describe spatial extent. In contrast, fractals possess fractional dimensions that quantify their degree of irregularity, roughness, and space-filling capacity. A classic example is the Koch Snowflake, which has a fractal dimension of approximately 1.26; this non-integer value reflects the structure's ability to occupy a space between one and two dimensions, resulting from its infinitely recursive, jagged boundary. Fractal dimension thus provides a precise numerical framework for measuring the structural complexity that eludes traditional geometric analysis.

The third characteristic is the emergence of complexity from simplicity. Elaborate and intricate fractal structures can be generated through the repeated application of straightforward iterative rules. By continuously executing basic geometric transformations—such as scaling, rotation, or translation—on a simple initial shape, the structure gradually evolves into a highly complex, infinitely detailed fractal pattern. This principle reveals that sophisticated natural and mathematical structures can arise from fundamental, repetitive mechanisms, demonstrating the profound relationship between simplicity and complexity in fractal geometry [3, 4].

3.3. Classic fractal examples

Two classical fractals, the Koch Snowflake and the Sierpiński Triangle, were selected to illustrate iterative construction and morphological development through direct observation and analysis.

The Koch Snowflake begins with a basic equilateral triangle as its initial figure. In each iteration, the middle third of every edge is removed and replaced by two line segments forming a smaller outward equilateral triangle. Through manual construction of the first several stages, the shape gradually becomes intricate and irregular while still maintaining its overall symmetry. A notable mathematical property of the Koch Snowflake is that it encloses a finite area, which is bounded by the original equilateral triangle, yet its perimeter approaches infinity as the number of iterations continues to increase. Furthermore, its fractal dimension can be calculated as $(\frac{log4}{log3})$ approx 1.26, which reflects its high degree of boundary complexity and space-filling characteristics.

The Sierpiński Triangle is generated through a simple recursive procedure: first connecting the midpoints of the original triangle, dividing it into four smaller congruent triangles, and then removing the central one. Repeating this process infinitely reveals a hierarchical structure composed of infinitely many self-similar subtriangles. Its fractal dimension is calculated as $(\frac{log3}{log2})$ approx 1.58, which quantifies its recursive complexity and scale consistency. This structure clearly demonstrates self-similarity across magnifications and illustrates how sophisticated geometric patterns emerge from repeated elementary operations [5].

3.4. The Mandelbrot Set

Wonderfully, fractals are not only visible geometric shapes, but also appear in the form of mathematical formulas and iterative rules. The Mandelbrot Set is widely regarded as the most influential fractal in modern mathematics.The Set is defined by the simple iterative formula: $(z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c)$, where both z and c represent complex numbers. Starting from the initial value $z_0 = 0$, the formula is applied repeatedly. The set consists of all constant values c for which the sequence remains bounded and does not diverge to infinity [6]. If the value of c causes the sequence to grow without limit, that point is excluded from the Mandelbrot Set.

For instance, when $(c = 0 + 1i)$, the divergence process through step-by-step iteration can be clearly observed. Starting with the initial value, $z_0 = 0$, is substituted into the formula to get

$$z_1 = z_0^2 + c = 0^2 + (0 + 1i) = 0 + 1i. \tag{1}$$

Next, z_2 is calculated using z_1:

$$z_2 = (0 + 1i)^2 + (0 + 1i) = (0^2 - 1^2 + 2 \times 0 \times 1i) + 0 + 1i = -1 + 1i. \tag{2}$$

Continuing the iteration,

$$z_3 = (-1 + 1i)^2 + (0 + 1i) = (1 - 2i + i^2) + 0 + 1i = (1 - 2i - 1) + 1i = -i. \tag{3}$$

Then

$$z_4 = (-i)^2 + (0 + 1i) = -1 + 1i, \tag{4}$$

and

$$z_5 = (-1 + 1i)^2 + (0 + 1i) = -1 + 1i, \tag{5}$$

which starts to cycle but with values that do not remain bounded—over repeated iterations, the absolute value of the complex numbers will gradually increase without limit. Thus, this value of c is not part of the Mandelbrot Set.

By contrary, when $(c = -1 + 0i)$, the iterative process clearly shows that the sequence remains bounded. Again starting with $z_0 = 0$, we calculate

$$z_1 = 0^2 + (-1 + 0i) = -1 + 0i. \tag{6}$$

Next,

$$z_2 = (-1 + 0i)^2 + (-1 + 0i) = 1 - 1 + 0i = 0. \tag{7}$$

Then

$$z_3 = 0^2 + (-1 + 0i) = -1 + 0i, \tag{8}$$

and

$$z_4 = (-1 + 0i)^2 + (-1 + 0i) = 0. \tag{9}$$

This creates a cycle between $z = -1 + 0i$ and $z = 0$, with both values having an absolute value of 1 or 0 —never exceeding a fixed bound. This confirms that the value $c = -1 + 0i$ belongs to the Mandelbrot Set.

The Mandelbrot Set fully exhibits the three fundamental properties of fractals. It displays clear self-similarity across different scales, possesses a non-integer fractal dimension, and emerges entirely from simple repeated computational rules. When magnifying local regions of the set, miniature structures can be observed resembling the overall shape, along with infinitely varied fine details. This direct observation strengthened my understanding of why the Mandelbrot Set is regarded as a central research object in fractal geometry.

4. Results

This study achieves several verifiable outcomes. Initially, it establishes a comprehensive knowledge framework for fractals, enabling readers to grasp core concepts and form clear perception of this field. Next, it applied the Koch Snowflake and the Sierpiński Triangle as classical examples to illustrate the three defining characteristics of fractals [1]. The step-by-step construction and observation of these fractal patterns directly reveal the mechanism of self-similarity and how complexity arises from basic recursive operations, enhancing the authenticity and persuasiveness of the conclusions. Third, it elaborates on the mathematical principles underlying the Mandelbrot Set, clarifying its iterative formation process and structural properties. In the end, it identifies and presents four significant directions for future fractal-related research. Together, these results provide clear and reliable comprehension into the nature and application potential of fractal geometry.

5. Discussion

This study has several advantages. Firstly, it follows a clear, straightforward logical structure. The content progresses step by step, starting from the basic definition of fractals, moving to important properties, then to classic examples and key models like the Mandelbrot Set, and finally to future research directions. This linear, easy-to-follow flow allows readers to grasp the knowledge system without confusion. Second, it builds a complete yet accessible knowledge framework. The explanations avoid overly complicate mathematical derivations, so readers do not need advanced mathematical background to understand the core ideas of fractal geometry. Third, it effectively combines real-world examples, mathematical explanations, and actual experiences. To illustrate, classic fractals such as the Koch Snowflake and Sierpiński Triangle were constructed and their iterative formation are observed while straightforward computer tools areutilized to visualize the process. These hands-on experiences make the paper more vivid and credible, bridging abstract theory with practical practice instead of relying solely on text or images. Last but not the least, the writing style is concise and reader-friendly. All sentences use clear subjects and simple vocabulary, avoiding long, convoluted structures. This ensures that most readers can understand the content quickly and efficiently.

This article also has some notable limitations. First, it only covers basic fractal knowledge and classic models, without delving into complex fractal structures or advanced mathematical theories. For example, it does not explore high-dimensional fractals or advanced topics in fractal calculus, which limits the depth of the research. Second, the study lacks thorough data analysis and rigorous computer experiments. The study only used simple manual drawing and basic mathematical software, without large-scale datasets to verify the conclusions. This means the analysis is more descriptive than quantitative. Third, the proposed future research directions are only preliminary ideas, without detailed implementation plans or feasibility assessments.

6. Conclusion

Fractal geometry serves as a powerful bridge between basic mathematical rules and the infinite complexity that exists widely in nature and the universe. Its three fundamental features—self-similarity, non-integer fractal dimension, and complexity from simplicity—break the limitations of traditional Euclidean geometry and provide people with a brandnew perspective to study irregular, detailed natural shapes. Systematic studies of the Koch Snowflake, the Sierpiński Triangle, and the Mandelbrot Set all lead to the same consistent conclusion: highly complex fractal structures are generated from simple repeated rules rather than complicated designs. This consistency reveals a core mathematical principle: simple iterative operations can generate infinite and delicate structural details across different scales. It changes the traditional perception that complex forms must rely on complex construction rules, and it lays a solid theoretical foundation for the subsequent exploration of fractal applications in various fields.

Fractals are not only visually beautiful mathematical patterns but also an important window for understanding the hidden mathematical order behind the seemingly chaotic universe. In nature, many complex phenomena such as the branching of trees, the texture of coastlines, and the structure of cloud clusters all present obvious fractal characteristics. The discovery of fractals allows people to see the unified mathematical logic behind these seemingly disordered natural phenomena, which helps to reveal the inherent laws of the natural world and promotes the integration of mathematical theory with natural science research.

With continuous indepth research, fractals may bring more valuable discoveries and unexpected surprises to human science and daily life in the future.

7. Future work

This study can be improved by using professional programming tools to conduct systematic fractal simulations, collecting more comprehensive data for profound analysis, and developing detailed, actionable plans for each future research direction to enhance the practical value of the study.

Based on the study of fractal geometry and exploration of classical models such as the Mandelbrot Set, Koch Snowflake, and Sierpiński Triangle, future exploration of fractals may focus on four major directions: exploring the internal connection between fractals and chaos theory, establishing fractal models of the human brain, discovering more hidden fractal patterns in mathematical equations, and promoting the practical application of fractal theory in science and engineering. Further research on fractals could not only enrich the development of mathematics itself but also help to improve human insight of the laws of the universe, solve realworld problems, and support the creation of new interdisciplinary scientific fields.

To begin with, researchers can deepen the investigation into the intrinsic connection between fractals and chaos theory [7]. Both fields center on complex systems generated by simple iterative rules, with fractals often serving as the geometric "attractors" of chaotic dynamical systems. Exploring their shared mathematical foundations—such as how non-integer dimensions quantify chaotic system complexity—can unlock new insights into nonlinear dynamics, with potential applications in weather prediction and stock market fluctuation analysis.

Secondly, fractal geometry offers a powerful framework for modeling the human brain. The brain's folded cortical structure, neural network topology, and even EEG signal patterns exhibit striking fractal self-similarity across scales. Developing fractal-based brain models can enhance our understanding of neural development, neurodegenerative diseases, and brain-computer interface design, moving beyond traditional Euclidean models to capture the brain's inherent complexity.

Third, researchers should continue uncovering hidden fractal patterns in mathematical equations. Many nonlinear systems, from number theory to differential equations, contain unrecognized fractal structures. Discovering these patterns can expand the scope of fractal theory, revealing universal mathematical principles that govern both abstract equations and natural phenomena.

Finally, fractal theory must be further applied to solve real-world problems in science and engineering. For instance, fractal-based algorithms already improve signal processing noise reduction, image compression efficiency, and antenna design for 5G/6G communication. In materials science, fractal structures inspire the design of lightweight, high-strength materials and efficient heat exchangers. Fractals are not merely abstract mathematical concepts but practical tools that can drive innovation across industries [8]. In scientific research, fractal theory can provide new research ideas for fields such as chaos theory, brain science, and geophysics, helping researchers solve complex problems that are difficult to analyze with traditional methods. In practical applications, fractal models can be used in image compression, urban planning, material design, and other fields, improving the efficiency and innovation of technical solutions.

At the same time, the continuous expansion of fractal research boundaries will also promote the development of interdisciplinary fields. It will encourage more scholars to combine mathematics, biology, engineering, and computer science to carry out cross-domain research, creating new scientific research directions and technical application modes. In the long run, in-depth research on fractals will not only enrich the theoretical system of mathematics but also help humans continuously deepen their understanding of the universe, and lay a more solid foundation for solving major scientific and technological problems in the future.

References

[1] Schwarzenberger, R., & Falconer, K. (1990). Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications. *The Mathematical Gazette, 74*(469), 316. https: //doi.org/10.2307/3619861

[2] Barcellos, A. (1990). Fractals everywhere. *American Mathematical Monthly, 97*(3), 266–268. https: //doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1990.11995589

[3] Peitgen, H.-O., Jürgens, H., & Saupe, D. (2004). *Chaos and fractals: New frontiers of science.* Springer.

[4] Peitgen, H.-O., Jürgens, H., & Saupe, D. (1992). *Chaos and fractals.* Springer Science & Business Media.

[5] Edgar, G. A. (2008). *Measure, topology, and fractal geometry* (2nd ed.). Springer.

[6] Devaney, R. L. (2004). Fractal patterns and chaos games. *The Mathematics Teacher, 98*(4), 228–233. https: //doi.org/10.5951/mt.98.4.0228

[7] Ott, E. (2010). *Chaos in dynamical systems* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

[8] Krejcar, O., & Namazi, H. (2025). Review on the application of fractal theory in mechanical engineering. *Engineering Research Express, 7*(2), Article 022504. https: //doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/adc975